



1/14/06  
B

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No: 09/832,553 Confirmation No. 2004  
Filed: April 11, 2001  
Applicant: Holub  
Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CALIBRATING A COLOR DISPLAY  
Examiner: Hau H. Nguyen Art Unit: 2676  
Attorney Docket: HLB-001CV

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.312

Dear Sir:


Applicant wishes to bring to the Examiner's attention that in making Examiner's Amendment to Claim 19 the words "on said surface" should also be deleted from line 8 of Claim 19 since "on a surface" was removed earlier in the claim. Applicant requests Examiner to correct the Examiner's Amendment accordingly in a Supplemental Notice of Allowance. Applicant does not qualify for small entity status. A Supplemental Notice of Allowance is thus also requested with a new PTOL-85 form listing the large entity issue fee due. Please note that the Petition for Extension of time filed February 7, 2006 was at a large entity rate.

Applicant wishes entered into the record of the telephone interview on February 7, 2006 that cancellation of Claims 43-46 was agreed to by Applicant without prejudice to inclusion of such claims in a possible Divisional Application.

---

**Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail**

I certify that this document is being deposited on March 22, 2006 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

  
Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Tammy S. Moynihan

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Further, it was Applicant's understanding that language "quantity or offset providing the color of said display" would be removed from Claim 39 in the Examiner telephone interview on February 7, 2006 in order to enable rejoinder of Claim 39 and its dependent claims into the application. However, the Examiner's Amendment to Claim 39 removed "or offset providing the color of said display", but left the word "quantity". Correction is requested to also remove the word "quantity" from Claim 39. If the Examiner believes such correction is not necessary, Applicant wishes entry into the record that Claim 39 language "adjustment of each color channel quantity" broadly refers to adjustment of each color channel of the display regardless as to whether such is obtained by an offset or not.

Applicant made a typographical error on page 1 of the Interview Summary filed February 7, 2006 in that on line 12 of the first paragraph "parent applications" should have been "patent applications", in reference to incorporated by reference U.S. Patent No. 6,043,909 and Application No. 09/139,498, now U.S. Patent No. 6,459,425, on lines 4-6 on page 7 of the specification.

Consideration of this Response is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Kenneth J. LuKacher  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 38,539

Dated: March 22, 2006

South Winton Court  
3136 Winton Road South, Suite 301  
Rochester, New York 14623  
Telephone: (585) 424-2670  
Facsimile: (585) 424-6196